

Type of criteria	Sub-topic	Post-evaluation criterion description	Shared decision aid 1	Comments
N/A	Source/Developer	To allow grouping by developer where there may be overarching methodology which could be appraised and group finding noted	National Institute for Health & Care Excellence (NICE)	
N/A	Title	Hyperlink tool to website where possible	Surgery for stress urinary incontinence	
N/A	Format	eg PDF or tool for online completion - free text to describe format	PDF	
Process	Review & updating	E1. Provides a production or publication date.	Met (add date to comments)	Apr-19
Process	Review & updating	E2. Has information available about the updating policy.	Met	see methodology document section 9
Other	Relevance to NHSScotland	E3. Provides information about country of publication.	Met (add Country to comments)	UK
Content	Condition or issue & decision	E4. Describes the health condition or issue/topic (treatment, procedure, or investigation) for which the decision is required.	Met	Very brief two line description
Content	Condition or issue & decision	E5. Explicitly states the decision that needs to be considered.	Met	States the three surgical options
Content	Information on options	E6. Provides clear information about the potential consequences, benefits and harms of each option.	Met	Large table included
Content	Information on options	E7. Displays and frames options in a consistent, balanced and impartial way - for example, using the same sized font and neutral language	Met	Same tabular format and diagrams for each operation type

Content	Language & numbers	<p>E8. Uses everyday language that is widely understood, or simpler language where necessary. This includes using language equivalent to a reading age of 9 to 11 for aids intended for direct patient use. Where this is not possible, and the aid is intended to be explained by a healthcare professional, a reading age of 11 to 14 should be used.</p> <p>The WebFX tool is used to score reading age. This includes other common scores such as the Flesch Kincaid score and Hemingway score.</p>	Not met (reading age over 11 for direct patient use)	Your direct input has an average reading ease of about 39.1 of 100. It should be easily understood by 18 to 19 year olds.
Content	Language & numbers	E9. Shows that effort has been made to present quantitative information about risks, benefits, chance and uncertainty in a way that is understandable to people with low levels of numeracy.	Met	diagrams with 100 icons
Process	Evidence	E10. Provides an indication that the SDA is underpinned by evidence. This can be within SDA or supporting methodology documentation.	Met	Linked to NICE NG123
Process	Evidence	E11. Provides information about the levels of uncertainty around event or outcome probabilities, eg by giving a range or by using phrases such as 'our best estimate is. .	Met	It is not possible to know in advance what will happen to any individual woman ...on average...
Other	Accessibility	E12. Is presented in a digital format that complies with accessibility standards, eg W3C AA, WCAG 2.1 or other alternative formats. (No change)	Fail	

Other	Equality and diversity	E13 An EQIA equality impact assessment has been carried out during the design of the SDA. Relating to protected characteristics age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex, and sexual orientation.	Met	Query if 'developed in line with NICE equality scheme' is sufficient?
Content	Information on options	D1. Includes information on the option of doing nothing new or different, for example, what happens if the person chooses to continue with their current treatment, chooses not to have further treatment or chooses no treatment at all. (No change)	Met	the guide is aimed at women who have tried lifestyle and pelvic floor training but these and use of pads is noted which would be the continuation of current treatment
Process	Patient/public involvement & co-production	D2. Indicates that the SDA has been co-produced between both professionals AND patients/public.	Met	Scoping group includes clinical and patient experts (although guides are written by lead authors)
Process	Peer review process	D3. Indicates that the SDA has been peer-reviewed	Met	See technical information section of methodology
Process	Disclosure	DIS1 Provides clarity about the source of funding. Used this slightly awkward wording to accommodate, e.g NHS aids which will not make an explicit statement about funding source - but it is clear that it is NHS funded.	Met	NHS/NICE

Process	Disclosure	DIS2 Developers have minimised potential for bias or partiality -e.g. no adverts, prominent drug company names, funding by drug or device company, Conflicts of interest recorded. (Judge harshly - note not met here if there are any concerns)	Met	DOI policy followed
N/A	Time taken [number of essential criteria not fully met]/Queries	As well as time taken - use the comments field to note any queries or concerns arising on clinical relevance or applicability which may need expert input	18 [2]	In light of recent mesh surgery investigations query if this remains applicable to NHSScotland?